Partners in Practice Learning Review

Threshold for Public Law Outline and Care Applications

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PART A: Observations of Host Authority Practice** | | | |
| **Host Local Authority:** | |  | |
| **Peer Review Team Members & LA:** | |  | |
| **Please detail practice and/or process observed and dates:** | | | |
| **Meeting Type** | **Date of Meeting** | **Meeting Chair/ Role** | **Attendees** |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| **What are the internal decision-making processes for agreement to have a Legal Planning Meeting, entering PLO processes and issuing Care Proceedings?** | | | |
|  | | | |
| **How have risks been addressed prior to and during PLO?** | | | |
|  | | | |
| **What evidence is considered to ensure that the threshold criteria is met?** | | | |
|  | | | |
| **Even if the threshold is met, has there been consideration that entering PLO or issuing care proceedings is the right thing to do?** | | | |
|  | | | |
| **Is consideration of the No Order Principle made explicit in panel/forum decision making?** | | | |
|  | | | |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **What processes are in place to track and review practice with these families?** | |
|  | |
| **Strengths** | **Areas for Development/Reflection** |
|  |  |
| **Hypotheses and early observations in relation to practice in good/outstanding authorities.**  *What conditions are in place in a good authority that prevents families going to court?*  *What are the culture and practice habits of the LA working with families in proceedings? What has enabled them to become practice habits? What values is this practice based on?* | |
|  | |